

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/627,565	LIM ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Resp. NF

(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jerome Marger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2005

**Time:** 1:30 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

#### Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exr. MacArthur contacted Atty. Marger to discuss the response mailed 11/15/2004. She informed Mr. Marger that the amendment of claim 1 did not entail the allowable subject matter of claims 7-15 as purposed. She recommended that Mr. Marger include the limitations in their entirety. The limitation of claim 8 would be included in claim 1 and claim 8 cancelled. See the attached amendment for clarification.